

On the Baum-Connes conjecture in the real case

by Paul Baum and Max Karoubi

The classical Baum-Connes conjecture (for a given discrete countable group Γ) states that

the index map [1]

$$\mu(\Gamma) : K_j^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_j(C_r^*(\Gamma))$$

is

an isomorphism (where $j = 0, 1 \pmod{2}$).

In this statement, $K_j(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ is the K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ (also denoted $C_r^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$ in [9]) and $K_j^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma)$ is the *complex* equivariant Kasparov K -homology (with Γ -compact supports) of the space $\underline{E}\Gamma$. This index map may be also defined in the *real* context, by using real Kasparov theory. In other words, there is an index map

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma) : KO_j^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_j(C_r^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R}))$$

where j takes its values in $\mathbb{Z} \pmod{8}$. We may now ask whether $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$ is also an isomorphism.

One source of interest in this question (for a given group Γ) is the result of S. Stolz (with contributions from J. Rosenberg, P. Gilkey and others): the injectivity of $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$ implies the stable Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture [2] about the existence of a Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature on compact connected spin manifolds with Γ as fundamental group [10].

The purpose of this paper is to show that the Baum-Connes conjecture in the real case follows from the usual (i.e. complex) case. More precisely, our theorem is the following:

THEOREM. *Let Γ be a discrete countable group. If $\mu(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism then $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$ is also an isomorphism.*

The proof relies on an interpretation of the index maps $\mu(\Gamma)$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$ as K -theory connecting homomorphisms associated to exact sequences of (real or

complex) C^* -algebras [3][8] and also on a general theorem for Banach algebras which follows directly from a “descent theorem” in topological K -theory:

THEOREM [4]. *Let A be a Banach algebra over the real numbers and let $A' = A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be its complexification. If $K_i(A') = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 2$, then $K_j(A) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 8$.*

1. Definition of $\mu(\Gamma)$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$

1.1. In this section, we recall the basic definitions of [1] and observe that these definitions extend quite immediately to the real case.

The universal proper Γ -space is denoted by $\underline{E}\Gamma$ and $K_j^{\Gamma}(\underline{E}\Gamma)$ denotes the following colimit

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\Delta} KK_{\Gamma}^j(C_0(\Delta), \mathbb{C})$$

where Δ runs over all Γ -compact subspaces of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ (by definition, a Γ -subspace Δ is called Γ -compact if the quotient space Δ/Γ is compact). The composition of the two homomorphisms

$$KK_{\Gamma}^j(C_0(\Delta), \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow KK_{\Gamma}^j(C_0(\Delta) \rtimes \Gamma, C_r^*(\Gamma)) \longrightarrow KK^j(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*(\Gamma))$$

induces (by taking the colimit) the map μ referred to in the introduction. Here the first homomorphism is Kasparov’s descent map [5] and the second one is induced by the Kasparov product with

$$1 \in KK^0(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\Delta) \rtimes \Gamma) = K_0(C_0(\Delta) \rtimes \Gamma).$$

1.2 Remark. In this definition of μ , the specific space $\underline{E}\Gamma$ does not play a particular role. In other words, if X is *any* proper Γ -space, we could define in the same way an “index map”

$$\mu(X, \Gamma) : K_j^{\Gamma}(X) \longrightarrow K_j(C_r^*(\Gamma)).$$

1.3 Remark. These definitions extend immediately to the real case. Hence, there is a real index map

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \Gamma) : KO_j^{\Gamma}(X) \longrightarrow K_j(C_r^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})).$$

The *real* Baum-Connes conjecture for the group states that $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\underline{E}\Gamma, \Gamma) = \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism for all $j \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 8$.

2. Index maps and connecting homomorphisms in K -theory

2.1. The strategy for proving our theorem is as follows. We will describe (in this section) a C^* -algebra whose K -theory (real or complex) vanishes precisely when the corresponding version of the Baum–Connes conjecture is true¹ In the next section we will apply to this C^* -algebra the result of [4], according to which the K -theory of a real C^* -algebra vanishes if and only if the K -theory of its complexification vanishes.

2.2. To construct the required C^* -algebra we have chosen to use the method of [3], [7], [8]. Let X be a locally compact space² X and let Γ be a countable discrete group Γ acting properly on X . Choose a separable Hilbert space H with a representation of C^* -algebras $\psi : C_0(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unitary group representation $\tau : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$ which are compatible in the sense that $\psi(\gamma.f) = \tau(\gamma).\psi(f).\tau(\gamma)^*$, where $\gamma.f$ is the function $x \mapsto f(\gamma^{-1}x)$. (Note that these conditions imply that we have in fact a representation of the crossed product C^* -algebra $C_0(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$.) It is also required that H be a ‘large’ representation in a certain technical sense; it is sufficient to take $H = L^2(X; \mu) \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma) \otimes H'$, where H' is an auxiliary infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and μ is a Borel measure on X whose support is all of X .

Within this setting, we define the support in $X \times X$ of an operator T , denoted $\text{Supp}(T)$, as the complement of the points (x, y) such that there exists a neighborhood $U \times V$ of (x, y) such that $\psi(f)T\psi(g) = 0$, for f supported in U and g supported in V .

2.3. Following [3] and [7], we define now the C^* -algebra $D_\Gamma^*(X)$ and a closed ideal $C_\Gamma^*(X)$. Thus there is an exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow C_\Gamma^*(X) \longrightarrow D_\Gamma^*(X) \longrightarrow D_\Gamma^*(X)/C_\Gamma^*(X) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (\mathcal{E})$$

By definition, $D_\Gamma^*(X)$ is the closure of the algebra in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ consisting of all the (bounded) operators T such that

- 1) T is Γ -invariant, i.e. $T.\tau(\gamma) = \tau(\gamma)T$ for all γ in Γ .
- 2) $\text{Supp}(T)$ is Γ -compact, i.e. its quotient³ by Γ is compact in $(X \times X)/\Gamma$.
- 3) For all f in $C_0(X)$, $T\psi(f) - \psi(f)T$ is a compact operator on H .

The ideal $C_\Gamma^*(X)$ is the closure of the algebra in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ consisting of the (bounded) operators T which satisfy (1), (2), and a stronger condition

¹In the analogous context of surgery theory, the K -theory of this C^* -algebra would be the ‘fiber of assembly’ or ‘structure set’ term in the surgery exact sequence.

²We assume X to be also second countable in order to get separable Hilbert spaces.

³Here Γ is acting on $X \times X$ by the diagonal action.

3') For all f in $C_0(X)$, $T\psi(f)$ and $\psi(f)T$ are compact operators on H .

2.4 Example. If Γ is a finite group and X is compact, it is well known that the K -theory of the C^* -algebra $D_\Gamma^*(X)/C_\Gamma^*(X)$ is the K -homology, with a shift of dimension, of the cross-product algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ (this is ‘‘Paschke duality’’ [6]). In the simplest case when X is a point, the exact sequence above is essentially equivalent to a direct sum of exact sequences of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow 0$$

as many as the number of conjugacy classes in Γ .

2.5 THEOREM [8]. *For any proper cocompact Γ -space X , there is a canonical Morita equivalence between the C^* -algebra $C_\Gamma^*(X)$ and $C_r^*(\Gamma)$, the reduced C^* -algebra of the group Γ .*

2.6 THEOREM [3][6]. *For any proper Γ -space X , there is a natural isomorphism*

$$K_j^\Gamma(X) := \operatorname{colim}_\Delta K_\Gamma^j(C_0(\Delta), \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{j+1}(D_\Gamma^*(X)/C_\Gamma^*(X))$$

where Δ runs over all the Γ -compact subspaces of X .

2.7 THEOREM [8]. *For any proper Γ -space X , we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_j^\Gamma(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K_j(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ K_{j+1}(D_\Gamma^*(X)/C_\Gamma^*(X)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_j(C_\Gamma^*(X)) \end{array}$$

where μ is the Baum-Connes map and where δ is the K -theory connecting homomorphism associated to the exact sequence $(\mathcal{E})$ above.

2.8 Remark. It is important to notice that the three theorems above are also true in the real case (see [9] for a detailed account of this ‘‘real Paschke duality’’). In this case, $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ has to be replaced by $C_r^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{R})$. The real analogs of the C^* -algebras $D_\Gamma^*(X)$ and $C_\Gamma^*(X)$ shall be denoted $D_\Gamma^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $C_\Gamma^*(X; \mathbb{R})$.

2.9 COROLLARY. *The Baum-Connes map $\mu : K_j^\Gamma \longrightarrow K_j(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ is an isomorphism for all $j \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 2$ if and only if the K -groups $K_j(D_\Gamma^*(X)) = 0$ for all j . In the same way, the real Baum-Connes map $\mu_\mathbb{R} : KO_j^\Gamma(X) \longrightarrow K_j(C_\Gamma^*(X; \mathbb{R}))$ is an isomorphism for all $j \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod 8$ if and only if the K -groups $K_j(D_\Gamma^*(X; \mathbb{R})) = 0$ for all j .*

3. Proof of the Baum-Connes conjecture in the real case for a given group Γ (assuming its validity for Γ in the complex case)

3.1. As we have shown in the second section, the complex (resp. real) Baum-Connes conjecture is equivalent to the vanishing of the K -groups $K_j(D_\Gamma^*(X))$ (resp. $K_j(D_\Gamma^*(X; \mathbb{R}))$) for $X = \underline{E}\Gamma$. If we put $A = D_\Gamma^*(X; \mathbb{R})$, its complexification $A' = A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $D_\Gamma^*(X)$. The scheme of the argument is then the following, where $BC(\Gamma)$ (resp. $BC_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma)$) stands for the Baum-Connes conjecture (resp. the real Baum-Connes conjecture) for a given discrete group Γ :

$$BC(\Gamma) \iff K_*(A') = 0 \implies K_*(A) = 0 \iff BC_{\mathbb{R}}(\Gamma).$$

3.2. The only point to show is the implication $K_*(A') = 0 \implies K_*(A) = 0$, which follows from the descent theorem stated in [4] in the general framework of Banach algebras. More precisely, let A be any Banach algebra over the real numbers and A' denote its complexification $A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. There is then a cohomology spectral sequence with $E_2^{pq} = H^p(\mathbb{RP}_2; K_{-q}(A'))$ converging to $K_{-q-p}(A) \oplus K_{-q-p+4}(A)$, where $\mathbb{RP}_2$ is the real projective plane and H^p means usual singular cohomology with local coefficients⁴. The hypothesis $K_*(A') = 0$ implies that the E_2 term of the spectral sequence is 0. Therefore the E_∞ term is also 0. Since moreover the filtration is finite (because $\mathbb{RP}_2$ is finite dimensional), $K_*(A)$ must be also 0.

⁴In fact, there is at most one non zero differential, therefore $E^3 = E^\infty$.

References

- [1] **BAUM P., CONNES A. and HIGSON N.** Classifying space for proper actions and K -theory of group C^* -algebras. In Contemporary Mathematics 167, C^* -Algebras: 1943-1993 A Fifty Year Celebration (ed. R. Doran), 241-291, American Mathematical Society (1994).
- [2] **GROMOV M. and LAWSON H. B.** Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator. Publ. Math. del'IHES, 58, 83-196 (1983).
- [3] **HIGSON N. and ROE J.** Analytic K -homology. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press (2000).
- [4] **KAROUBI M.** A descent theorem in topological K -theory. K -theory 24, 109-114 (2001).
- [5] **KASPAROV G.** Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture. Inventiones Mathematicæ, 91, 147-201 (1988).
- [6] **PASCHKE W.** K -theory for commutants in the Calkin algebra. Pacific J. Math., 95, 427-437 (1981).
- [7] **ROE J.** Index Theory, Coarse Geometry, and Topology of Manifolds. American Mathematical Society, CBMS N° 90 (1996).
- [8] **ROE J.** Comparing analytic assembly maps. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Oxford, 53, 201-208 (2002).
- [9] **ROE J.** Paschke duality for real and graded C^* -algebras. Preprint.
- [10] **SCHRODER H.** K -theory for real C^* -algebras and applications. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 290, Longman Scientific and Technical (1993).
- [11] **STOLZ S.** (to appear)

Paul Baum (baum@math.psu.edu): Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA.

Max Karoubi (karoubi@math.jussieu.fr): UFR de Mathématiques, Université Paris 7, 2 place Jussieu, 75251 Paris cedex 05, France.